

Addressing Inequities in the Distribution of Highly Qualified and Effective Teachers: A Workshop to Move Research and Policy Into Action

June 30–July 1, 2009
InterContinental Chicago O’Hare

Resources

Research

- Barr, J. M. (2004). *Teacher location choice and the distribution of quality: Evidence from New York City* (Draft). Newark, NJ: Rutgers University. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from <http://andromeda.rutgers.edu/~jmbarr/nyteacherlocation.pdf>
- Boyd, D., Lankford, H., Loeb, S., & Wyckoff, J. (2002). *Initial matches, transfers, and quits: Career decisions and the disparities in average teacher qualifications across schools*. Unpublished paper. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from http://www.teacherpolicyresearch.org/portals/1/pdfs/Initial_Matches_Transfers_and_Quits.pdf
- Boyd, D., Lankford, H., Loeb, S., & Wyckoff, J. (2005). The draw of home: How teachers’ preferences for proximity disadvantage urban schools. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 24(1), 113–132. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from http://www.teacherpolicyresearch.org/portals/1/pdfs/The_Draw_of_Home_%28JPAM%29.pdf
- Clotfelter, C., Ladd, H., & Vigdor, J. (2003). *Who teaches whom? Race and the distribution of novice teachers*. Durham, NC: Sanford Institute of Public Policy, Duke University.
- Clotfelter, C., Ladd, H., & Vigdor, J. (2006). *Teacher-student matching and the assessment of teacher effectiveness*. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Available online at <http://www.nber.org/papers/w11936> (subscription required)
- Goldhaber, D. (2005). *Key attributes of state data systems that allow for sophisticated research on teachers*. Unpublished paper. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from http://www.teachingdata.org/pdfs/goldhaber_data.pdf
- Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2007). Pay, working conditions, and teacher quality. *The Future of Children*, 17(1), 69–86. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from http://www.futureofchildren.org/usr_doc/7_04.pdf
- Koski, W. S., & Horng, E. L. (2007). Facilitating the teacher quality gap? Collective bargaining agreements, teacher hiring and transfer rules, and teacher assignment among schools in California. *Education Finance and Policy*, 2(3), 262–300.

Lankford, H., Loeb, S., & Wyckoff, J. (2002). Teacher sorting and the plight of urban schools: A descriptive analysis. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 24(1), 37–62. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from http://www-personal.umich.edu/~dkcohen/ED697_PUBPOL695_ClassWebsite_Fall05/31.%20Lankford_2002.pdf

Policy

Haycock, K. (2002). Toward a fair distribution of teacher talent. *Educational Leadership*, 60(4), 11–15. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from http://www.edpal.com/courses/upload/ELL_002/Toward%20A%20Fair.pdf

Koski, W. (2007). When “adequate” isn’t: The retreat from equity in educational law and policy and why it matters. *Emory Law Journal*, 56(3), 545–617.

Levin, J., Mulhern, J., & Schunck, J. (2005). *Unintended consequences: The case for reforming the staffing rules in urban teachers union contracts*. New York: The New Teacher Project. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from <http://www.tntp.org/files/UnintendedConsequences.pdf>

Luebchow, L. (2009). *Equitable resources in low-income schools: Teacher equity and the federal Title I comparability requirement*. Washington, DC: New America Foundation. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from http://www.newamerica.net/files/Equitable_Resources_in_Low_Income_Schools.pdf

Rotherham, A. J. (2004). *Opportunity and responsibility for national board certified teachers* [Policy Report]. Washington, DC: Progressive Policy Institute. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from http://www.thenewdemocrat.org/documents/Certified_Teachers_0304.pdf

Roza, M., & Hill, P. T. (2004). How within-district spending inequities help some schools to fail. In D. Ravitch (Ed.), *Brookings Papers on Education Policy: 2004* (pp. 201–228). Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.

Walsh, K. (2007). *Robbing Peter to pay Paul: The case against “comparability.”* Washington, DC: National Council on Teacher Quality. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from http://www.nctq.org/p/publications/docs/robbing_peter_to_pay_paul_20080316034427.pdf

Practice

Bylsma, P. (n.d.). *Creating a comprehensive teacher data system*. Tacoma, WA: Center for Strengthening the Teaching Profession. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from http://www.dataqualitycampaign.org/files/publications-creating_comprehensive_teacher_data_system_report.pdf

Chait, R. (2009). *Ensuring effective teachers for all students: Six state strategies for attracting and retaining effective teachers in high-poverty and high-minority schools*. Washington, DC: Center for American Progress.

- Goe, L. (2006). *Revising the equitable distribution component in your state's plan for highly qualified teachers*. Washington, DC: National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from <http://www.tqsource.org/TeacherDistributionPlanningTool.pdf>
- Lasagna, M. (2009). *Increasing teacher retention to facilitate the equitable distribution of effective teachers* (Key Issue). Washington, DC: National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from http://www.tqsource.org/publications/KeyIssue_TeacherRetention.pdf
- National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality. (2008). *Ten early lessons learned from highly qualified teacher monitoring reports* (updated). Washington, DC: Author. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from <http://www.tqsource.org/TenLessonsLearnedFromHQTMonitoringReports.pdf>
- National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality. (2009). *Systems and strategies for addressing the inequitable distribution of teachers* (Webcast). Washington, DC: Author. Retrieved June 17, 2009, from <http://www.tqsource.org/webcasts/equitableDistribution/>
- National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality. (2009). *Using ARRA funds to improve teacher effectiveness and equitable distribution: An interactive mapping tool*. Washington, DC: Author. Retrieved June 17, 2009, <http://www.tqsource.org/arra/>